

# On perfect order subsets in finite groups

Nguyen Trong Tuan

*High School for gifted*

*VNU - HCM City*

*145 Nguyen Chi Thanh str., Dist. 5, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam*

*e-mail: tuanhoangnhi@yahoo.com*

Bui Xuan Hai\*

*Faculty of Mathematics & Computer Science, University of Science*

*VNU - HCM City*

*227 Nguyen Van Cu str., Dist. 5, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam*

*e-mail: bxhai@hcmuns.edu.vn*

23 June 2010

## Abstract

If  $G$  is a finite group and  $x \in G$  then the set of all elements of  $G$  having the same order as  $x$  is called *an order subset of  $G$  determined by  $x$*  (see [2]). We say that  $G$  is a *group with perfect order subsets* or briefly,  $G$  is a *POS-group* if the number of elements in each order subset of  $G$  is a divisor of  $|G|$ . In this paper we prove that for any  $n \geq 4$ , the symmetric group  $S_n$  is not *POS-group*. This gives the positive answer to one of two questions rising from Conjecture 5.2 in [3].

**Key words:** perfect order subset, finite groups.

**Mathematics Subject Classification 2010:** 20D60

---

\*corresponding author

# 1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, all considered groups are finite and for a group  $G$  we denote by  $|G|$  the order of  $G$ , while for an element  $x \in G$ , the order of  $x$  is denoted by  $o(x)$ . We denote also by  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}^+$  the sets of all non-negative and positive integers respectively. If  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  then  $G^m$  denotes the direct product  $G \times G \times \dots \times G$ . In a group  $G$ , define the following equivalence relation:

$$x \sim y \iff o(x) = o(y).$$

The equivalence class defined by an element  $x$  is denoted by  $\bar{x}$  and is called *an order subset* of  $G$ . Following the work [2], we say that  $G$  is a *group with perfect order subsets* or briefly,  $G$  is a *POS-group* if the number of elements in each order subset of  $G$  is a divisor of  $|G|$ . In [2], the authors study properties of some abelian *POS*-groups and they established some curious connection of such groups and Fermat numbers. In [3], the authors have extended their study for non-abelian groups and they have obtained some interesting properties for such groups. Also, in this work, some examples of non-abelian *POS*-groups are given. In particular, it is obvious that the symmetric group  $S_3$  on three letters is a non-abelian *POS*-group. However, the authors conjectured that for  $n \geq 4$ ,  $A_n$  and  $S_n$  do not have perfect order subsets, i.e. they are not *POS*-groups. Recently, in [1], Ashish Kumar Das have proved this conjecture for groups  $A_n$ . Our main purpose in this paper is to prove that the conjecture for groups  $S_n$  is also true. As an useful additional information, in Section 2 we give some examples of groups having no perfect order subsets.

## 2 Examples of groups having no perfect order subsets

In this section we give some examples of groups not necessarily abelian, having no perfect order subsets. In the first, we note that the direct product of *POS*-groups does not necessarily be a *POS*-group as the following proposition shows.

**Proposition 2.1** *For  $\alpha, t \in \mathbb{Z}^+, t > 1$ ,  $(\mathbb{Z}_{2^\alpha})^t$  is not a *POS*-group.*

**Proof.** The order of any element of  $(\mathbb{Z}_{2^\alpha})^t$  is of the form  $2^i, i \leq \alpha t$ . By [2, Lemma 1], the number of elements of the order  $2^\alpha$  is  $(2^{\alpha-1})^t(2^t - 1)$ . Since  $t > 1$ ,  $(2^t - 1)$  does not divide  $2^{\alpha t}$ . Therefore  $(\mathbb{Z}_{2^\alpha})^t$  is not a *POS*-group. ■

For  $2n \geq 4$ , denote by  $D_{2n}$  the dihedral group, defined by the following:

$$D_{2n} := \langle a, b | a^n = 1, b^2 = 1, bab^{-1} = a^{-1} \rangle.$$

**Lemma 2.1** *If  $n$  is an even integer then  $D_{2n}$  is not a *POS*-group.*

**Proof.** In a dihedral group  $D_{2n} := \langle a, b | a^n = 1, b^n = 1, aba^{-1} = a^{-1} \rangle$ , for every  $i, 0 \leq i < n$ , we have  $(a^i b)^2 = 1$ . So  $D_{2n}$  contains  $n$  elements of order 2 of this form. Now, if  $n$  is even, then  $a^{n/2}$  is also an element of order 2. So the number of elements of order 2 is not a divisor of  $2n$  and it follows that  $D_{2n}$  is not a *POS*-group. ■

**Lemma 2.2** *If there exist at least two odd prime divisors of  $n$  then  $D_{2n}$  is not a POS-group.*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $n = \prod_{k=1}^r p_k^{\alpha_k}$ ,  $r \geq 2$ ,  $p_k$  are all odd primes. Then, the number of elements of the order  $n$  is  $\varphi(n) = \prod_{k=1}^r p_k^{\alpha_k-1}(p_k - 1)$ . It follows that  $\prod_{k=1}^r (p_k - 1)$  is a divisor of  $2 \prod_{k=1}^r p_k$ . But, by our assumption this is a contradiction. ■

**Theorem 2.1**  *$D_{2n}$  is a POS-group if and only if  $n = 3^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $D_{2n}$  is a POS-group. In view of lemmas 2.1 and 2.2,  $n = p^\alpha$  for some odd prime  $p$ . Then  $b^p = b \neq 1$ . It follows that every element of a order  $p^\alpha$  is of the form  $a^i$  with  $(i, p) = 1$  and  $1 \leq i < p^\alpha$ . So, the number of elements of a order  $p^\alpha$  is  $\varphi(p^\alpha) = (p - 1)p^{\alpha-1}$ . Since this number is a divisor of  $2p^\alpha$ , it follows  $p = 3$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $n = 3^\alpha$ . Then, the order of any element of  $D_{2n}$  is of the form  $2^i \cdot 3^\beta$ , where  $\beta \leq \alpha$  and  $i \in \{0, 1\}$ .

If  $i = 0$  then the number of elements of a order  $3^\beta$  is  $\varphi(3^\beta) = 2 \cdot 3^{\beta-1}$ , which is a divisor of  $n = 2 \cdot 3^\alpha$ . Now, if  $i = 1$  then any element of a order  $2 \cdot 3^\beta$  must be of the form  $a^k b$ ,  $0 \leq k < n$ . Then we have

$$(a^k b)^2 = a^k b a^k b = a^k b a^k b^{-1} = a^k (b a^k b^{-1}) = a^k a^{-k} = 1.$$

It follows that  $\beta = 0$ . Thus, such elements have the order 2 and there are exactly  $n$  such elements. Hence  $D_{2n}$  is a POS-group. ■

Recall that for  $n \geq 3$ , the generalized quaternion group  $Q_n$  is defined by the following:

$$Q_n := \langle a, b | a^{2^{n-1}} = 1, b^2 = a^{2^{n-2}}, bab^{-1} = a^{-1} \rangle.$$

Generalized quaternion groups are non-abelian non- POS groups. In fact, we have the following result:

**Proposition 2.2** *For  $n \geq 3$ , a generalized quaternion group  $Q_n$  is not a POS-group.*

**Proof.** Consider a generalized quaternion group

$$Q_n := \langle a, b | a^{2^{n-1}} = 1, b^2 = a^{2^{n-2}}, bab^{-1} = a^{-1} \rangle.$$

Since  $bab^{-1} = a^{-1}$ ,  $ba^i b^{-1} = a^{-i}$  for all  $i$ ,  $0 \leq i < 2^{n-1}$ . From the last equality it follows

$$(a^i b)^2 = a^i (b a^i b^{-1}) b^2 = a^i a^{-i} b^2 = b^2 = a^{2^{n-2}}.$$

Hence  $(a^i b)^4 = (a^{2^{n-2}})^2 = a^{2^{n-1}} = 1$ . So, there are  $2^{n-1}$  elements of the order 4 of the form  $a^i b$ ,  $0 \leq i < 2^{n-1}$ . On the other hand, the order of the element  $a^{2^{n-3}}$  is 4. Hence, the number of elements of order 4 does not divide  $2^n$ . So  $Q_n$  is not POS-group. ■

### 3 The symmetric groups

As we have mentioned in the Introduction, the symmetric group  $S_3$  is a *POS*-group. In [3, Conjecture 5.2], the authors conjectured that for any  $n \geq 4$ , the group  $S_n$  is not a *POS*-group. Our main purpose in this section is to give the positive answer to this conjecture. In fact, we shall prove the following result:

**Theorem 3.1** *For any integer  $n \geq 4$ , the symmetric group  $S_n$  is not a *POS*-group.*

To prove this theorem, we need some lemmas.

**Lemma 3.1** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime number. If  $n = 2p + r$  with  $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ , then  $S_n$  is not a *POS*-group.*

**Proof.** Suppose that under our supposition,  $S_n$  is a *POS*-group. Consider any element  $\alpha$  of the order  $p$  in  $S_n$ . Then, either  $\alpha$  is a cycle of the length  $p$  or it is a product of two disjoint cycles of the same length  $p$ . For the convenience, we call  $\alpha$  an element of type 1 for the first case and  $\alpha$  an element of type 2 for the second case. Obviously that the number of all elements of type 1 is

$$\frac{A_n^p}{p} = \frac{n!}{p(n-p)!} = \frac{n!}{p(p+r)!}$$

and the number of all elements of type 2 is

$$\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{A_n^p}{p} \times \frac{A_{n-p}^p}{p} = \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{n!}{p(n-p)!} \times \frac{(n-p)!}{p(n-2p)!} = \frac{n!}{2p^2r!}.$$

Hence, the number of all elements of the order  $p$  in  $S_n$  is

$$d = \frac{n!}{p(p+r)!} + \frac{n!}{2p^2r!} = \frac{2pr! + (p+r)!}{2p^2r!(p+r)!} n!$$

Since  $S_n$  is a *POS*-group,

$$\frac{2p^2r!(p+r)!}{2pr! + (p+r)!}$$

is an integer or

$$\frac{2p^2(p+r)!}{2p + (r+1)(r+2) \dots (p+r)} = \frac{2p^3r!(r+1) \dots (p-1)(p+1) \dots (p+r)}{p[2 + (r+1) \dots (p-1)(p+1) \dots (p+r)]}$$

is an integer. Therefore

$$\frac{2p^2r!(r+1) \dots (p-1)(p+1) \dots (p+r)}{2 + (r+1) \dots (p-1)(p+1) \dots (p+r)} \tag{1}$$

is an integer. Since

$$(r+1) \dots (p-1)(p+1) \dots (p+r) \equiv (p-1)! \pmod{p},$$

in view of Wilson's Theorem we have

$$2 + (r+1) \dots (p-1)(p+1) \dots (p+r) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}. \quad (2)$$

From (1) and (2) it follows that

$$\frac{2r!(r+1) \dots (p-1)(p+1) \dots (p+r)}{2 + (r+1) \dots (p-1)(p+1) \dots (p+r)} = \frac{2r!A}{2+A}$$

is an integer, where  $A = (r+1) \dots (p-1)(p+1) \dots (p+r)$ . Since  $\gcd(A, 2+A) = \gcd(A, 2) = 1$  or  $2$ ,  $\frac{4r!}{2+A}$  is an integer, that is a contradiction in view of the following inequalities:

$$2+A > (p+1)(p+2)(p+3) \dots (p+r) > 4(p+2) \dots (p+r) > 4(1.2 \dots r) = 4r!.$$

The proof is now complete. ■

**Lemma 3.2** *If  $n = 3p + r$ , where  $p$  is a odd prime and  $r \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$ , then  $S_n$  is not a POS-group.*

**Proof.** Suppose that under our supposition,  $S_n$  is a POS-group. Consider any element  $\alpha$  of the order  $p$  in  $S_n$ . Then, either  $\alpha$  is a cycle of the length  $p$  or it is a product of two or three disjoint cycles of the same length  $p$ . The number of elements in each of these cases is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{A_n^p}{p} &= \frac{n!}{p(n-p)!} = \frac{n!}{p(2p+r)!}, \\ \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{A_n^p}{p} \times \frac{A_{n-p}^p}{p} &= \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{n!}{p(n-p)!} \times \frac{(n-p)!}{p(n-2p)!} = \frac{n!}{2p^2(p+r)!} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{6} \times \frac{A_n^p}{p} \times \frac{A_{n-p}^p}{p} \times \frac{A_{n-2p}^p}{p} = \frac{1}{6} \times \frac{n!}{p(n-p)!} \times \frac{(n-p)!}{p(n-2p)!} \times \frac{(n-2p)!}{p(n-3p)!} = \frac{n!}{6p^3r!}$$

respectively. Hence, the number of elements of the order  $p$  in  $S_n$  is

$$d = n! \left[ \frac{1}{p(2p+r)!} + \frac{1}{2p^2(p+r)!} + \frac{1}{6p^3r!} \right].$$

Since  $S_n$  is a POS-group,  $d$  must be divided  $n!$  and, consequently

$$k = \frac{6p^3 \cdot r! (p+r)! (2p+r)!}{6p^2(p+r)!r! + 3p(2p+r)!r! + (2p+r)!(p+r)!}$$

is an integer. By setting  $A := (r+p+1) \dots (2p-1)(2p+1) \dots (2p+r)$  and the direct calculation we have

$$k = \frac{6p^3 \cdot (p-1)!(p+1) \dots (p+r)A}{3 + 3A + (r+1) \dots (p-1)(p+1) \dots (p+r)A}. \quad (3)$$

By applying of Wilson's Theorem we get

$$(r+1) \dots (p-1)(p+1) \dots (p+r) \equiv -1 \pmod{p} \quad (4)$$

and, consequently we have

$$A = (p+r+1) \dots (2p-1)(2p+1) \dots (2p+r) \equiv -1 \pmod{p}. \quad (5)$$

From (4) and (5) it follows that

$$3 + 3A + (r+1) \dots (p-1)(p+1) \dots (p+r)A \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

Since

$$\gcd(A, 3 + A[3 + (r+1) \dots (p-1)(p+1) \dots (p+r)]) = \gcd(3, A)$$

and  $k$  is an integer, it follows from (3) that

$$B := \frac{18(p-1)!(p+1) \dots (p+r)}{3 + 3A + (r+1) \dots (p-1)(p+1) \dots (p+r)A}$$

is an integer. Now, we claim that

$$(r+1) \dots (p-1)(p+1) \dots (p+r)A > 18(p-1)!(p+1) \dots (p+r).$$

In fact, this inequality is equivalent to the following one:

$$A = (r+p+1) \dots (2p-1)(2p+1) \dots (2p+r) > 18r!.$$

Since  $p$  is an odd prime, the last inequality holds as the following calculation shows:

$$\begin{aligned} A &= (2p+1)(2p+2) \dots (2p+r)(r+p+1) \dots (2p-1) \geq (2p+1)(p+1)(2.3 \dots r) \\ &= (2p+1)(p+1).r! > 18r!. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, what we have claimed shows that  $B$  is not an integer. This contradiction completes the proof of the lemma. ■

**Lemma 3.3** *If  $n = 4p$ , where  $p$  is a odd prime, then  $S_n$  is not a POS-group.*

**Proof.** Suppose that under our supposition,  $S_n$  is a POS-group. Let  $d$  be the number of elements of the order  $p$  in  $S_n$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} d &= \frac{A_n^p}{p} + \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{A_n^p}{p} \times \frac{A_{n-p}^p}{p} + \frac{1}{6} \times \frac{A_n^p}{p} \times \frac{A_{n-p}^p}{p} \times \frac{A_{n-2p}^p}{p} + \frac{1}{24} \times \frac{A_n^p}{p} \times \frac{A_{n-p}^p}{p} \times \frac{A_{n-2p}^p}{p} \times \frac{A_{n-3p}^p}{p} \\ &= n! \left[ \frac{1}{p(3p)!} + \frac{1}{2p^2(2p)!} + \frac{1}{6p^3p!} + \frac{1}{24p^4} \right] \\ &= \frac{24p^3 \cdot (2p)!p! + 12p^2 \cdot (3p)!p! + 4p \cdot (3p)!(2p)! + p!(2p)!(3p)!}{24p^4 \cdot p!(2p)!(3p)!} \cdot n! \\ &= \frac{24p^3 + 12p^2(2p+1) \dots (3p) + 4p(p+1) \dots (3p) + (3p)!}{24p^4(3p)!} \cdot n!. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $d$  divides  $n!$ ,

$$\frac{n!}{d} = \frac{24p^4(3p)!}{24p^3 + 12p^2(2p+1) \dots (3p) + 4p(p+1) \dots (3p) + (3p)!}$$

is an integer. By dividing both numerator and denominator of the last fraction by  $6p^3$  we get

$$\frac{n!}{d} = \frac{24p^4 \cdot (p-1)!(p+1) \dots (2p-1)(2p+1) \dots (3p-1)}{4 + (2p+1) \dots (3p-1)[6 + 4(p+1) \dots (2p-1) + (p-1)!(p+1) \dots (2p-1)]}.$$

By setting

$$A = (2p+1) \dots (3p-1)$$

and

$$M = 4 + A[6 + 4(p+1) \dots (2p-1) + (p-1)!(p+1) \dots (2p-1)],$$

we have

$$\frac{n!}{d} = \frac{24p^4(p-1)!(p+1) \dots (2p-1)A}{M}. \quad (6)$$

In view of Wilson's Theorem we have

$$(2p+1) \dots (3p-1) = (2p+1)(2p+2) \dots (2p+p-1) \equiv (p-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p};$$

$$(p+1) \dots (2p-1) = (p+1)(p+2) \dots (p+p-1) \equiv (p-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}.$$

Hence  $M \equiv 4 + (-1)[6 - 4 + (-1)(-1)] \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . Consequently,  $\gcd(M, p^4) = 1$ . Therefore, in view of (6) we conclude that

$$\frac{24(p-1)!(p+1) \dots (2p-1)A}{M}$$

is an integer. Note that, if  $m$  is a common divisor of  $A$  and  $M$ , then  $m$  must divide 4. In particular,  $\gcd(A, M)$  must be 1, 2 or 4. It follows that

$$C := \frac{96(p-1)!(p+1) \dots (2p-1)}{M}$$

is an integer. However, we can check that  $C$  is not an integer for any odd prime  $p$ . In fact, if  $p = 3$ , then

$$C = \frac{3840}{7060}$$

which is not an integer. Now, suppose that  $p \geq 5$ . Then we have

$$A = (2p+1) \dots (3p-1) \geq (2.5+1).12.13.14 > 96$$

and

$$M > (p-1)!(p+1) \dots (2p-1)A > 96(p-1)!(p+1) \dots (2p-1).$$

Hence, in this case  $C$  is not an integer too. This contradiction completes the proof of the lemma. ■

Now, we are ready to prove the main theorem in this section.

**Proof of Theorem 3.1.**

For  $n = 6$  and  $n = 7$ , the desired result follows from Lemma 3.1 by taking  $p = 3, r = 0$  and  $p = 3, r = 1$  respectively. For  $n = 4$  and  $n = 5$ , note that the number of elements of the order 2 in  $S_4$  and  $S_5$  is 9 and 25 respectively. So,  $S_4$  and  $S_5$  are both non-POS groups.

Now, suppose that  $n \geq 8$  and  $m = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{4} \right\rfloor$ . According to Bertrand's Postulate (see, for example [4, Theorem 5.8, p. 109]), there exists some prime  $p$  such that

$$m < p < 2m.$$

Note that

$$p < 2m = 2 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{4} \right\rfloor \leq 2 \frac{n}{4} = \frac{n}{2}.$$

If  $\left\lfloor \frac{n}{4} \right\rfloor < p \leq \frac{n}{4}$ , then  $n = 4p$  and the conclusion follows from Lemma 3.3. Therefore, we can suppose that

$$\frac{n}{4} < p < \frac{n}{2}.$$

If  $\frac{n}{4} < p \leq \frac{n}{3}$ , then  $n = 3p + r$  with  $r \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$  and the conclusion follows from Lemma 3.2. If  $\frac{n}{3} < p < \frac{n}{2}$ , then  $n = 2p + r$  with  $r \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$  and the conclusion follows from Lemma 3.1. The proof of the theorem is now complete. ■

## References

- [1] Ashish Kumar Das, On finite groups having perfect order subsets, *International Journal of Algebra*, Vol.3 (2009), No. 13, 629-637.
- [2] Carrie E. Finch and Lenny Jones, A Curious Connection Between Fermat Numbers and Finite Groups, *The American Mathematical MONTHLY*, June-July 2002, 517-523.
- [3] Carrie E. Finch and Lenny Jones, Non-abelian Groups with Perfect Order Subsets, *The JP Journal of Algebra, Number Theory and Applications*, 3(1)(2003), 13-26.
- [4] Victor Shoup, *A Computational Introduction to Number Theory and Algebra*, Cambridge University Press, 2nd Edition.